IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.812 OF 2005

Ravindra Madhusudan Patade ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr.A.S.Rajadhyaksha for Applicant.

Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 11, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. I see no reason to depart from the well considered view taken by the Court below. Court below has examined every aspect of the matter, which has been re-iterated before this Court in the present Application, as can be discerned from Paragraphs 6 to 10 of the order. As there is ample

evidence to indicate the complicity of the Applicant, not a case for bail, having regard to the seriousness of the offence. Hence, rejected.

3. The Trial Court is directed to ensure that the trial is concluded at the earliest, preferably within nine months from the receipt of writ of this Court.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.